



By
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,639	06/28/2001	Antony Locke	1076.40298X00	4497
20457	7590	06/15/2005		EXAMINER
		ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP		DEANE JR, WILLIAM J
		1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET		
		SUITE 1800	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873		2642

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/892,639	LOCKE, ANTONY
	Examiner	Art Unit
	William J. Deane	2642

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 January 2005.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1 page.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,991,420 (Stern) in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,415,769 (Gray).

With respect to claims 1 and 9 – 16, Stern teaches a device for a telephone handset comprising an inductive coil for generating a magnetic field for a hearing aid and pick-up coil (Abstract). It is not clear whether the device is on the cover of the mobile phone or placed elsewhere (Col. 2, lines 43 – 45). An end of the mobile phone could be the cover. However, even if the cover were not where the device is attached, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the device wherever it was deemed necessary. See also Col. 1, lines 49 – 59 and Col. 2, line 49 – Col. 3, line 16. If this is not agreed, note that Gray teaches an induction coil contained in the cover (see Fig. 7 and Col. 5, lines 14 – 27). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated such a user releasable cover including an induction coil attached thereto for convenience. A hearing impaired person could take the cover of Gray and use on other phones such as a pay phone.

With respect to claims 2 and 7 – 8 describe well-known covers for mobile phones.

With respect to claim 3, note amplifier circuit 18 of Stern.

With respect to claims 4 – 6, note Col. 2, lines 35 – 38 of Stern. Connectors are notoriously old in the art and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate connectors in anyway deemed necessary.

With respect to claim 17, note Fig. 7 of Stern

With respect to claims 18 - 19, such a limitation would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, in light of the cited references.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

U.S. Patent No. 5,973,477 (Chang) – note Figs;

U.S. Patent No. 4,944,017 (Cognasse et al.) – note Abstract and Summary of the Invention.

U.S. Patent Application No. 2003/0040345 (Berger et al.) – note Abstract and Figs;

U.S. Patent Application No. 2002/0141545 (Segovia) – note Abstract and Figs; and

U.S. Patent Application No. 2001/0055386 (Waldron et al.) – note Figs.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bill Deane whose telephone number is (571) 272-7484. In addition, facsimile transmissions should be directed to Bill Deane at facsimile number (703) 872-9314.

11Jun05



WILLIAM J. DEANE, JR.
PRIMARY EXAMINER